













Join us on December 19 at 2 p.m. EST for the TraCSS listening session on Space Data Standards and Formats





Housekeeping for Listening Session



- The listening session is for 1 hour total time.
- Will be recorded and posted online to the TraCSS website afterwards
- Will be taking feedback but no Q&A in this session
- Agenda:
 - Welcome & Brief Overview of TraCSS Christine Joseph, OSC
 - TraCSS Data Exchange & Recommendations Dr. Dianne Poster, OSC, NIST
 - Feedback Time
 - Registered Participants 3 minutes each
 - Closing & Wrap-Up
 - Adjourn
- Next steps:
 - Written comments may be submitted per the guidelines in the posted document on the TraCSS webpage until 5:00 pm ET, January 18, 2024
 - tracss.commerce@noaa.gov
 - Future listening sessions are planned for 2024 will be announced on TraCSS webpage and via email blasts



K\$


























Phase 1 Capability Roll-Out Plan

Phased delivery of commercial functionality





































Standards















• These standards are open and internationally viable for a diverse set of users to enhance interoperability and enable cross-support participating entities.













Rules for data exchange can be formally specified by two ways:



 Standards that codify norms of behavior that are expected or accepted through best practices, or



 Standards that establish international consensus formats, terminology and content for data exchange



 International standards developing organizations provide the essential forums for the development of commercial standards that help ensure successful interoperability





- Standards are often developed via consensus building on what the standard addresses
 - International Organization for Standardization (ISO)
 - Consultative Committee for Space Data Systems (CCSDS).
- ISO Technical Committee 20 develops and maintains standards for aircraft & space vehicles
 - TC20/Subcommittee 13 (SC13):Data and info sharing standards (data exchange)
 - TC20/Subcommittee 14 (SC14):Best practices, norms of behavior standards (STC)
 - OSC is focused on products from SC 13, which are identical to products from the CCSDS
- CCSDS is a multi-national organization of international space agencies
 - products are available ISO and at the CCSDS website through working groups
 - Navigation Working Group family of space data messages are most applicable to SSA
 - Many space data exchange standards already exist in different formats
 - Reviewed via a periodic review cycle of no more than 5 years













Table 1. Data types determined by OSC relevant for TraCSS data exchange w/ published standards

| Data Type | Published Applicable CCSDS Standard |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Conjunction Assessments | Conjunction Data Message (CDM) |
| Owner/Operator (O/O) Ephemeris | Orbit Data Messages (ODM): Ephemeris Message (OEM), Comprehensive Message (OCM) |
| O/O Contact Information | OCM; may be able to use Space Data Standard User Profile Message |
| O/O-satellite characteristics | ODM; + Satellite Catalog Message for additional information |
| O/O Maneuver Plans | ODM: Orbit Parameter Message (OPM) and OCM |
| Satellite Identification | ODM: there is an opportunity for a free-text field |
| Deployment Schedules | ODM: OCM includes field for deployment times |
| Launch Trajectories | ODM |
| Satellite Characterization Data | ODM: OCM or can combine into the LDM when standard is available |
| DOC/Commercial State Vectors | ODM: OPM |
| DOC/Commercial Element Sets | ODM: OMM |
| Reentry Assessments | Re-entry Data Message (RDM) |
| O/O Spectrum Use Information | Radio Frequency and Modulation Systems—Part 1(RFMS-I): Earth Stations and Spacecraft |
| Commercial Metric Observations | Tracking Data Message (TDM) |













Table 2. Data types determined by OSC relevant for TraCSS data exchange and standards not yet published

| <u>Data Type</u> | Applicable Standard Not Yet Published |
|---|---|
| Satellite Anomaly Notification/Information | Anomaly Message (AM) (potential future candidate for development) |
| Breakup/Debris Generating Event Notification | Events Message (EM) (newly in development) Fragmentation Data Message (FDM) (proposed) Navigation Composite Message (NCM) (proposed) |
| Breakup/Debris Generating Event Reports | EM, FDM, and NCM |
| Launch Information (R-15 Form) | Launch Data Message (LDM) (newly in development) |
| Launch Updates | LDM |
| O/O Spectrum Use Information | Radio Frequency Characteristics Message (RFCM) and Radio Frequency Interference Data Message (RFIDM) (both potential future candidates for development) |
| Mission CONOPS | Currently no specific CCSDS standard for Mission CONOPS but this information could be readily captured in a text file. |

When documents are open for review, they are available on CCSDS website for comments: https://public.ccsds.org/review/default.aspx; instructions on how to submit comments are on website























Comments are welcome to our determinations listed in Tables 1 and 2 on:

- Applicability of the published CCSDS standards to the listed data types;
- Applicability of the draft CCSDS standards to the listed data types;
- Availability of other published or draft standards that are currently not provided by CCSDS,
 - suggestions may include published or draft standards available from other standard developing organizations, material that is available as published best practices or guidance; or
 - availability of other published or draft standards that are not considered in Table 1.
- We additionally request comments about the following formats (see next slide) currently not used in CCSDS standard messages:
 - JSON versions of CCSDS standard messages or binary serialization versions of CCSDS standard messages; or
 - Combination of JSON and/or binary serialization versions of CCSDS standard messages



TraCSS Data Formats Slide 1 of 2

- OSC requests comments on the following formats currently not used in CCSDS standard messages:
 - JSON versions of CCSDS standard messages or binary serialization versions of CCSDS standard messages; or
 - combination of JSON and/or binary serialization versions of CCSDS standard messages
- CCSDS participants are required to test all formats. To prevent numerous considerations for testing, CCSDS formats are in key/value notation (KVN) and extensive mark-up language (XML):
 - KVN: original CCSDS message format representing basic key/value data structures as legible strings: example: TIME_SYSTEM = UTC
 - XML schema: added to CCSDS messages in approximately 2010 and used since then: example: <TIME_SYSTEM>UTC</TIME_SYSTEM>
- Selection of KVN or XML format is something that is mutually agreed between message exchange partners in advance of using the CCSDS standard. In the immediate future, OSC is planning to leverage the existing KVN or XML formats













TraCSS Data Formats Slide 2 of 2

- Other possible formats for CCSDS standards could include the following:
 - Java Script Object Notation (JSON) a collection of name/value pairs and an ordered list of values: example: '{"object":"plate", "shape":round, "pattern":null}'
 - Flatbuffers an efficient cross platform serialization library for C++, C#, C, Go, Java, Kotlin, JavaScript, Lobster, Lua, TypeScript, PHP, Python, Rust and Swift.
 - It was originally created at Google for game development and other performance-critical applications.
 - It represents hierarchical data in a flat binary buffer in such a way that it can still be accessed directly without parsing/unpacking, while also still supporting data structure evolution (forwards/backwards compatibility).
- OSC is considering supporting development of JSON and binary serialization formats for CCSDS messages and welcomes feedback on these and other formats.















Comments are welcome to our determinations listed in Tables 1 and 2 on:

- Applicability of the published CCSDS standards to the listed data types;
- Applicability of the draft CCSDS standards to the listed data types;
- Availability of other published or draft standards that are currently not provided by CCSDS,
 - suggestions may include published or draft standards available from other standard developing organizations, material that is available as published best practices or guidance; or
 - availability of other published or draft standards that are not considered in Table 1.
- We additionally request comments about the following formats currently not used in CCSDS standard messages:
 - JSON versions of CCSDS standard messages or binary serialization versions of CCSDS standard messages; or
 - Combination of JSON and/or binary serialization versions of CCSDS standard messages

























Bibliography 1 of 3

CCSDS Published Standards:

CDM - Conjunction Data Message Recommended Standard CCSDS 508.0-P-1.0.4, Issue 1. (2023, CCSDS, Washington D.C.). Available at https://cwe.ccsds.org/moims/docs/MOIMS-NAV/Draft%20Documents/Conjunction%20Data%20Message%20(CDM)/508x0p1.0.4 CDM Changes Accepted.pdf

ODM - Orbit Data Messages Recommended Standard CCSDS 502.0-B-3, Issue 3 (2023, CCSDS, Washington, D.C.). Available at https://public.ccsds.org/Pubs/502x0b3e1.pdf

RFMS-I - Radio Frequency and Modulation Systems—Part 1: Earth Stations and Spacecraft Recommended Standard CCSDS 401.0-B-32, Issue 32 (2021, CCSDS, Washington, D.C.). Available at https://public.ccsds.org/Pubs/401x0b32.pdf

TDM - Tracking Data Message Recommended Standard CCSDS 503.0-B-2, Issue 2. (2020, CCSDS, Washington, DC). Available at https://public.ccsds.org/Pubs/503x0b2c1.pdf

RDM - Re-entry Data Message Recommended Standard CCSDS 508.1-B-1, Issue 1. (2019, CCSDS, Washington, D.C.). Available at https://public.ccsds.org/Pubs/508x1b1c1.pdf



Bibliography 2 of 3



AM - Anomaly Message. Under development

FDM – Fragmentation Data Message. Under development

LDM – Launch Data Message. Under development

NEM – Navigation Events Message. Under development

NCM – Navigation Composite Message. Under development

RFCM - RF Characteristics Message. Under development

RFIDM - RFI Data Message. Under development



**>







Bibliography 3 of 3



Barry, D. (2014) Using CCSDS Standards for Space Situational Awareness. Presented at the American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics SpaceOps Conference, June 5 – 9, Pasadena, California.

Barry, D; Oltrogee D. (2018) The Evolution of the CCSDS Orbit Data Messages. Presented at the American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics SpaceOps Conference, May 28 – June 1, Marseille, France.

Knoblock, EJ. (2020) Interoperability and Concepts of Operation Assessment for Space Relay Services and Partnerships. National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) Report NASA/TM-20205003461, NASA Glenn Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio.

Morrison, J. (1989) The Future of Space Systems – The Challenges of Standards and Interoperability. Presented at the American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics 27th Aerospace Sciences Meeting, January 9 – 12, Reno, Nevada.

Oltrogge, D; Koury, TJ; Leonard, SA; Mulholland M; Bates, B; Poster DL. (2023) Survey of Open Access, Space Traffic Coordination Relevant Data Exchange Standards (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD). Under development.

Oltrogge, D. (2022) ISO and CCSDS Standards. Presented to the GEOINT Interagency Working Group, December 8, 2022, Washington D.C.













Acknowledgements



Cody Knipfer, Assistant to the OSC Chief of Staff

Scott Rowan, Front Office, OSC

Ethan Waterman, Front Office, OSC





